arXiv:1704.02583v1 [hep-ph] 9 Apr 2017

Six Ω_c^0 states discovered by LHCb as new members of $1P$ and $2S$ charmed baryons

Bing Chen[1,3*] and Xiang Liu[2,3†‡]

[1]*Department of Physics, Anyang Normal University, Anyang 455000, China*

[2]*School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

[3]*Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Lanzhou University & Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China*

(Dated: April 11, 2017)

Inspired by these newly observed Ω_c^0 states at LHCb, we decode their properties by performing the analysis of mass spectrum and calculation of their decay behaviors. Our studies show that five narrow states $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ can be grouped into the $1P$ states with negative parity, i.e., the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ can be as the partner of the $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ which have $J^P = 1/2^-$, while the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and its partner the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are of $J^P = 3/2^-$. $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ is regarded as a $J^P = 5/2^-$ state. Additionally, we also discuss the possibility of the broad structure $\Omega_c(3188)^0$ as an $2S$ Ω_c^0 state with $J^P = 1/2^+$ or $J^P = 3/2^+$.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Jh, 13.30.Eg, 14.20.Lq

I. INTRODUCTION

Establishing higher radial and orbital excited states of heavy baryons is an interesting and important research issue of hadron spectroscopy in the past years (see the review paper [1] for the progress), by which we can gain more information about non-perturbative behavior of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). With the efforts of experiments, a significant progress has been made in searching for highly excited charmed baryons in the past several years. For examples, the $\Xi_c(2790)$, $\Xi_c(2815)$, and $\Xi_c(2980)$, have been measured by Belle with greater precision [2]. A new decay mode, $D^+\Lambda$, was found for the $\Xi_c(3055)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3080)^+$ [3]. Recently, LHCb remeasured the resonance parameters of the $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ [4], and confirmed the previously measured mass, decay width, and spin of $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$. In addition, a constraint on the spin-parity of the $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ was given for the first time. More importantly, LHCb also observed a new broad state, the $\Lambda_c(2860)^+$, with $J^P = 3/2^+$ [4]. Until now, these new measurements have greatly enriched the information of charmed baryons with the cqq and csq ($q = u$ or d quark) configurations. It is obvious that this story is still going on.

Very recently, the LHCb Collaboration again brought us a surprise due to the observation of six Ω_c^0 states in the $\Xi_c^+K^-$ invariant mass spectrum [5], which are the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, $\Omega_c(3119)^0$, and a broad structure around 3188 MeV (tentatively named as the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$ in this work). The concrete experimental results of LHCb plus two established Ω_c^0 baryons $\Omega_c(2700)$ and $\Omega_c(2770)$ [6] are collected in Table I for the reader's convenience. We notice that the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ have the remarkable narrow widths. These newly detected Ω_c^0 states at LHCb not only make the Ω_c^0 charmed baryon family become abundant, but also let us face how to categorize them into the Ω_c^0 family.

Until now, the observation of these Ω_c^0 states has aroused the theorists' great interests [7–16]. In the following, we briefly review the research status of these new Ω_c^0 states. With the method of QCD sum rule, the masses of $2S$ Ω_c^0 states were calculated [7], where the assignment of $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ as the $2S$ states were proposed. The strong decays of P-wave charmed baryons have been systematically investigated under the framework of light-cone QCD sum rules [8], where the decay behaviors of the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ were discussed. Based on the Regge trajectories [9], the $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ were regarded as the $2S$ states with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $J^P = 3/2^+$, respectively. Within a constituent quark model, the analysis of strong behavior also favors the $2S$ state assignment to the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ [10]. This assignment to the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ mentioned above is partly supported by the results in Refs. [17, 18], since the masses of $2S$ Ω_c^0 states were predicted to be around 3100 MeV. Different explanations have been made in Refs. [11–14], where the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ were suggested to be the $1P$ excited states with negative parity. In addition, a dynamical calculation by the chiral quark model suggested that some members of these new observed narrow Ω_c^0 states could be the candidates of 5-quark systems with the components of $\Xi\bar{D}$, $\Xi_c\bar{K}$, and $\Xi_c^*\bar{K}$ [15, 16].

Obviously, these observed Ω_c^0 states have inspired many theoretical interpretations. However, these newly measured results by LHCb [5] still challenge the existing phenomenological models which have achieved successes in describing

TABLE I: Masses, widths, and decay modes of the observed Ω_c^0 states [5, 6].

State	Decay mode	Mass (MeV)	Width (MeV)
$\Omega_c(2695)^0$	week	2695.2±1.7	
$\Omega_c(2770)^0$	$\Omega_c^0\gamma$	2765.9±2.0	
$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	Ξ_cK	$3000.4\pm0.2\pm0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	4.5±0.6±0.3
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	Ξ_cK	$3050.2\pm0.1\pm0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	0.8±0.2±0.1
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	Ξ_cK, $\Xi_c'K$	$3065.6\pm0.1\pm0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	3.5±0.4±0.2
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	Ξ_cK, $\Xi_c'K$	$3090.2\pm0.3\pm0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	8.7±1.0±0.8
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	Ξ_cK, $\Xi_c'K$	$3119.1\pm0.3\pm0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	1.1±0.8±0.4
$\Omega_c(3188)^0$	Ξ_cK	3188±5±13	60±15±11

†Corresponding author
*Electronic address: chenbing@shu.edu.cn
‡Electronic address: xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn

the excited charmed baryons. For example, the mass order of $1P$ Ω_c^0 states is still in dispute. Most of the predicted mass orders for the $1P$ Ω_c^0 states are different [11, 19–22]. On other hand, it seems to be hard to explain the five narrow Ω_c^0 baryons as the $1P$ states simultaneously, *i.e.*, since two $1P$ Ω_c^0 states with $J^P = 1/2^-$ can decay into $\Xi_c K$ through S-wave, these states should be usually broad. Similarly, if the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ is an $2S$ state, it can decay into $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c' K$ through P-wave. Its very narrow decay width could not be understood.

When facing this mess situation of studying these observed Ω_c^0 states, it is time to give a systematic study by combining the mass spectrum analysis and the calculation of their strong decays. This kind of work could help us to reveal the inner structures of these observed Ω_c^0 states. In this work, we propose a scheme of mass order of $1P$ Ω_c^0 states based on the mass spectrum analysis. And then, we further carry out a calculation of their two-body Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI)-allowed strong decays, which can give a direct test of such scheme of mass order of $1P$ Ω_c^0 states. Besides focusing on the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, $\Omega_c(3119)^0$, in this work, we also provide a possible interpretation to the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$.

This paper is organized as follows. After introduction, we give an analysis of mass spectrum of low-lying Ω_c^0 excitations in Sec. II. By comparing theoretical and experimental results, the assignments to five narrow Ω_c^0 states are determined. In Sec. III, the OZI-allowed decays of $1P$ and $2S$ Ω_c^0 states are calculated. The paper ends with the discussion and conclusion in Sec. IV.

II. MASSES OF Ω_c^0 CHARMED BARYONS

As a typical hadron composed of heavy and light quarks, the Ω_c^0 baryon is an ideal system with the requirement of the heavy quark symmetry. In general, two strange quarks within the Ω_c^0 system should decouple from the c quark in the heavy quark limit. Under this scenario, the dynamics of a Ω_c^0 state could be separated into two parts, *i.e.*, the degree of freedom between two s quarks is usually called "ρ mode", while the degree between the center of mass of two s quarks and the c quark is called "λ mode". Usually, a three-body system can be treated as the interaction between light quark cluster and charm quark. If adopting the ordinary confining potential like the linear or harmonic form to depict this interaction, the mass of the ρ mode excitations is higher than that of the λ mode excitations [21, 23]. Thus, experiment may first detect the λ mode excited Ω_c^0 states. Just considering this point, in this work, we mainly focus on the λ mode excitations for these new Ω_c^0 states.

Since the excitation does not exist between two s quarks in these λ mode excited Ω_c^0 baryons, we may treat two s quarks as a block with the antitriplet color structure and peculiar size. Sometimes this special structure in a hadron system is called as a light quark cluster or a light diquark. For these low-lying Ω_c^0 baryons, we may expect the light quark cluster (denoted as $\{ss\}$) to be an effective degree of freedom. And then, a Ω_c^0 baryon could be simplified as a quasi-two-body system. Under the light quark cluster-heavy quark picture, Isgur discussed the similarity of dynamics between heavy-light baryon and heavy-light meson [24]. Later, the heavy baryon masses have been systematically calculated in such picture [17, 25]. In our recent work [26], the properties of low-excited charmed and charmed-strange baryons have been described well under this diquark picture.

Since the Ω_c^0 baryon has been simplified as a quasi-two-body system, we may use the following potential,

$$V(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} + br + C \tag{1}$$

to describe the interaction between the light $\{ss\}$ cluster and charm quark. Furthermore, we expect that the following spin-dependent interaction terms are also suitable for the Ω_c^0 baryons. Here, the first one describes the magnetic-dipole-magnetic-dipole color hyperfine interaction

$$H_{hyp} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{m_l m_c}\left(\frac{8\pi}{3}\delta^3(\vec{r})\vec{s}_l\cdot\vec{s}_c + \frac{1}{r^3}\hat{T}_{lc}\right), \tag{2}$$

where the tensor operator is defined as $\hat{T}_{lc} = 3\vec{s}_l\cdot\hat{r}\vec{s}_c\cdot\hat{r} - \vec{s}_l\cdot\vec{s}_c$. The second one corresponds to the spin-orbit interactions, *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{so} &= \left[\left(\frac{2\alpha}{3r^3} - \frac{b}{2r}\right)\frac{1}{m_l^2} + \frac{4\alpha}{3r^3}\frac{1}{m_l m_c}\right]\vec{s}_l\cdot\vec{L} \\ &+ \left[\left(\frac{2\alpha}{3r^3} - \frac{b}{2r}\right)\frac{1}{m_c^2} + \frac{4\alpha}{3r^3}\frac{1}{m_l m_c}\right]\vec{s}_c\cdot\vec{L}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which contains two contributions, *i.e.*, the color magnetic interaction due to one-gluon exchange and the spin-orbit interaction reflected by the Thomas precession term. Here, s_l and s_c represent the spins of light quark cluster and c quark, respectively. Due to the constraint from symmetry, s_l is set as 1 for Ω_c^0 state. L denotes the orbital quantum number between light quark cluster and charm quark. By solving a Schrödinger equation, the masses of these low-lying Ω_c^0 states can be obtained. In our calculations, all spin-dependent interactions are treated as the leading-order perturbations for the orbital Ω_c^0 excitations.

In such nonrelativistic quark potential model, there are five parameters m_c, m_l, b, α_s, σ, and C. Following the Jaffe's method [27], we first evaluate the mass of the axial-vector light quark cluster, m_l, by the following relation

$$\frac{4\times m_{\Omega_c(2770)^0} + 2\times m_{\Omega_c(2700)^0}}{6} - m_{\Lambda_c(2286)^+} \approx 456\ \text{MeV}. \tag{4}$$

Since the mass of scalar diquark $[qq]$ in Λ_c^+ baryons was fixed as 451 MeV by a relativistic flux tube model [25], the mass of light diquark $\{ss\}$ in Ω_c^0 baryons is evaluated about 0.91 GeV. Additionally, the c quark mass is taken to be $m_c = 1.68$ GeV from our previous work [26]. The strength of color Coulomb potential α_s and the strength of linear confinement b are taken as 0.30 and 1.20 GeV^{-1}, respectively, which can fairly reproduce the averaged masses of the observed $1S$, $1P$, and $2S$ Ω_c^0 candidates. To reproduce the mass splitting of the $\Omega_c(2700)^0$ and $\Omega_c(2770)^0$, the parameter σ is fixed as 1.35.

We may adopt notation $|s_l, L, j_l, s_c, J\rangle$ to distinguish the different Ω_c^0 states, where $\vec{s}_l + \vec{L} = \vec{j}_l$ and $\vec{j}_l + \vec{s}_c = \vec{J}$. There are five $1P$ Ω_c^0 states with λ mode excitation, which include $|1,1,0,1/2,1/2\rangle \equiv |0,1/2^-\rangle$, $|1,1,1,1/2,1/2\rangle \equiv |1,1/2^-\rangle$, $|1,1,1,1/2,3/2\rangle \equiv |1,3/2^-\rangle$, $|1,1,2,1/2,3/2\rangle \equiv |2,3/2^-\rangle$, and $|1,1,2,1/2,5/2\rangle \equiv |2,5/2^-\rangle$, where the notation $|s_l, L, j_l, s_Q, J\rangle$ is abbreviated as $|j_l, J^P\rangle$ and the subscript P denotes parity.

Due to the spin-orbit coupling of $\vec{s}_c \cdot \vec{L}$ and the tensor interaction, there exists mixture between $|0,1/2^-\rangle$ and $|1,1/2^-\rangle$, which satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} |1P,1/2^-\rangle_l \\ |1P,1/2^-\rangle_h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & -\sin\theta_1 \\ \sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0,1/2^-\rangle \\ |1,1/2^-\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

For the mixtures of $|1,3/2^-\rangle$ and $|2,3/2^-\rangle$, we define

$$\begin{pmatrix} |1P,3/2^-\rangle_h \\ |1P,3/2^-\rangle_l \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & -\sin\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |1,3/2^-\rangle \\ |2,3/2^-\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The mixing angles $\theta_1 = 143^\circ$ and $\theta_2 = 161^\circ$ are obtained in the adopted quark potential model. When discussing the decay behaviors of these mixing states, we still present the dependence of their decay widths on these mixing angles in next section.

TABLE II: Predicted masses for Ω_c^0 baryons and the comparison with other approaches in Refs. [17–19] and experimental data [5, 6] (in MeV).

State	Expt.	Our	Ref. [17]	Ref. [18]	Ref. [19]
$\|1S,1/2^+\rangle$	2695.2	2696	2698	2695	2695
$\|1S,3/2^+\rangle$	2765.9	2764	2768	2767	2745
$\|2S,1/2^+\rangle$	3188	3185	3088	3100	3164
$\|2S,3/2^+\rangle$		3226	3123	3126	3197
$\|3S,1/2^+\rangle$		3547	3489	3436	3561
$\|3S,3/2^+\rangle$		3581	3510	3450	3580
$\|1P,1/2^-\rangle_l$	3000.4	2975	2966	3011	3041
$\|1P,1/2^-\rangle_h$	3090.2	3063	3055	3028	3050
$\|1P,3/2^-\rangle_l$	3065.6	3066	3029	2976	3024
$\|1P,3/2^-\rangle_h$	3119.1	3120	3054	2993	3033
$\|1P,5/2^-\rangle$	3050.2	3057	3051	2947	3010
$\|1D,1/2^+\rangle$		3300	3287	3215	3354
$\|1D,3/2^+\rangle_l$		3305	3282	3231	3325
$\|1D,3/2^+\rangle_h$		3322	3298	3262	3335
$\|1D,5/2^+\rangle_l$		3282	3286	3173	3299
$\|1D,5/2^+\rangle_h$		3319	3297	3188	3308
$\|1D,7/2^+\rangle$		3274	3283	3136	3276
$\|2P,1/2^-\rangle_l$		3346	3384	3345	3427
$\|2P,1/2^-\rangle_h$		3433	3435	3359	3436
$\|2P,3/2^-\rangle_l$		3435	3415	3315	3408
$\|2P,3/2^-\rangle_h$		3488	3433	3330	3417
$\|2P,5/2^-\rangle$		3436	3427	3290	3393

In Table II, we show our result of mass spectra and the comparison with other theoretical results and experimental data. And then, we may conclude:

- The $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ can be assigned as the $|1P,1/2^-\rangle_l$, $|1P,5/2^-\rangle$, and $|1P,3/2^-\rangle_h$ states, respectively.
- There exits two possible assignments to the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ only by mass spectrum analysis. The first one is that the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ correspond to $|1P,3/2^-\rangle_l$ and $|1P,1/2^-\rangle_h$ states, respectively. The second one is that the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ correspond to $|1P,1/2^-\rangle_h$ and $|1P,3/2^-\rangle_l$ states, respectively. Studying the decay properties of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ may distinguish these two possible assignments.
- The broad structure, the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$, may be regarded as an $2S$ state with $J^P = 1/2^+$ or $3/2^+$ since the theoretical masses of $|2S,1/2^+\rangle$ and $|2S,3/2^+\rangle$ states are comparable with the experimental measurement.

In the following section, we will further study the decay behaviors of these new Ω_c^0 states.

III. DECAY BEHAVIORS

In this section, we employ the EHQ decay formula which was proposed by Eichten, Hill, and Quigg (EHQ) [28] to calculate the two-body OZI-allowed decays of these $1P$ and $2S$ Ω_c^0 states. The expression of the EHQ decay formula reads

$$\Gamma^{A\to BC}_{j_C,\ell} = \xi \left| C^{s_Q,j_B,J_B}_{j_C,j_A,J_A} \mathcal{M}^{j_A,j_B}_{j_C,\ell}(q) \right|^2 q^{2\ell+1} e^{-q^2/\tilde{\beta}^2}. \quad (7)$$

Here, the flavor factors ξ for all decay channels related to the present work are obtained as the same value, *i.e.*, $\xi = \sqrt{1/3}$. $q = |\vec{q}|$ denotes the three-momentum of a final state in the rest frame of an initial state. A and B represent the initial and final charmed baryons, respectively. Their total angular momentum and the projection are denoted as J_i and j_i ($i = A$ and B). C denotes the light flavor hadron. The coefficient $C^{s_Q,j_B,J_B}_{j_C,j_A,J_A}$ in Eq. (7) has explicitly incorporated the heavy quark symmetry which is believed to be important for the strong decays of heavy-light hadrons [29].

TABLE III: The values of $C^{s_Q,j_B,J_B}_{j_C,j_A,J_A}$ and the transition factors for the different decay modes of $1P$ and $2S$ Ω_c^0 states.

$\|j_l\ J^P\rangle$	$\Xi_c(2470)\ K$	$\Xi_c'(2570)\ K$	$\Xi_c^*(2645)\ K$	$\Xi\ D$
$\|0,\frac{1}{2}^-\rangle$	$\mathcal{M}^{0,0}_{0,0}(q)$	$\times$		
$\|1,\frac{1}{2}^-\rangle$	$\times$	$\mathcal{M}^{1,1}_{0,0}(q)$		
$\|1,\frac{3}{2}^-\rangle$	$\times$	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{M}^{1,1}_{2,2}(q)$		
$\|2,\frac{3}{2}^-\rangle$	$\mathcal{M}^{2,0}_{2,2}(q)$	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{M}^{2,1}_{2,2}(q)$		
$\|2,\frac{5}{2}^-\rangle$	$\mathcal{M}^{2,0}_{2,2}(q)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{9}}\mathcal{M}^{2,1}_{2,2}(q)$		
$\|1,\frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$	$\mathcal{M}^{1,0}_{1,1}(q)$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mathcal{M}^{1,1}_{1,1}(q)$	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\mathcal{M}^{1,1}_{1,1}(q)$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}\mathcal{M}^{1,1/2}_{1/2,1}(q)$
$\|1,\frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$	$\mathcal{M}^{1,0}_{1,1}(q)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\mathcal{M}^{1,1}_{1,1}(q)$	$\sqrt{\frac{5}{6}}\mathcal{M}^{1,1}_{1,1}(q)$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\mathcal{M}^{1,1/2}_{3/2,1}(q)$

For different decay modes of the $1P$ and $2S$ Ω_c^0 baryons, the explicit expressions for $C^{s_Q,j_B,J_B}_{j_C,j_A,J_A}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{j_A,j_B}_{j_C,\ell}(q)$ are listed in Table III. The simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) wave functions are used to deduce these transition factors via the $^3P_0$ model [30–32]. For the $1P$ and $2S$ Ω_c^0 states, the averaged values of the SHO wave function scale β are calculated by the quark potential model, *i.e.*, $\beta_{1P} = 0.236$ GeV and $\beta_{2S} = 0.191$ GeV. The SHO wave function scale of diquark, $\{ss\}$, is given as $\beta_{\{ss\}} = 0.184$ GeV. The SHO wave function scales of other hadrons related in this work were presented in Ref. [26]. The masses of final states of an allowed decay are borrowed from particle data group (PDG) [5]. It was shown that the strength γ of the decay interaction depends on the reduced mass of the quark-antiquark pair in the decaying hadron [33]. Here, the adjustable parameter γ in the $^3P_0$ model is taken as 0.648 in this work. More details for EHQ decay formula can be found in our previous works [26]. With the above preparation, the partial and total widths can be calculated for the $1P$ and $2S$ Ω_c^0 states.



FIG. 1: The dependence of the total decay widths of the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ states on the mixing angle θ_1 in Eq. 5. Here, the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ is treated as a $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_l$ state, while the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ are as the candidate of a $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_h$ state. The experimental widths of the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ are also listed here for the comparison with theoretical results.

According to the predicted mass in Sec. II, the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ can be assigned as a $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_l$ state. In fact, most theoretical work indicated that a $1/2^-$ state in the $1P$ Ω_c^0 family has the lowest energy [11–14, 20–22]. To test the possibility of the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ as the $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_l$ state, we give the dependence of its calculated total decay width on the mixing angle θ_1 in Fig. 2. We find that the calculated width of the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ is much larger than the experimental data when the mixing angle value ($\theta_1 = 143°$) determined by the quark potential model is taken as an input. A possible reason resulting in such phenomena is that the mixing angle θ_1 might be overestimated in our simple quark potential model. Then we fix the angle θ_1 as $111°$ directly by reproducing the experimental width of the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$.

To distinguish the assignment of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ as the $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_h$ state, the dependence of their calculated widths on the mixing angle θ_1 is also shown in Fig. 2. With the mixing angle fixed by the decay width of the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, the obtained total decay width of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ is still larger than the experimental result. Thus, the explanation of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ as a $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_h$ state could be excluded. For the $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ as the $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_h$ state, the calculated total width is close to the experimental data, which supports the $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ as the $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_h$ state. In a word, both $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ are suggested to have spin-parity quantum number $J^P = 1/2^-$.



FIG. 2: The dependence of the total decay widths of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ states on the mixing angle θ_2 in Eq. 6. Here, the experimental widths of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are also given.

The remaining assignment of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ is as partner of the $\Omega_c(3119)^0$. In other word, the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are treated as two $3/2^-$ states from the mixtures of $|1, 3/2^-\rangle$ and $|2, 3/2^-\rangle$. To test such assignment, we study their decay behaviors. In Fig. 2, the dependence of their total decay widths on the mixing angle θ_2 is illustrated. We notice that the experimental widths of the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ can be reproduced well when the mixing angle value $\theta_2 = 161°$ determined by the quark potential model is taken as an input. Thus, the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are probable candidates of the $|1P, 3/2^-\rangle_l$ and $|1P, 3/2^-\rangle_h$ states in the $1P$ Ω_c^0 baryon family, respectively.

TABLE IV: The partial and total decay widths of the discussed $1P$ states of Ω_c^0.

State	Candidate	$\Xi_c(2470)K$	$\Xi_c'(2570)K$	Total	Expt. [5]
$\|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_h$	$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	8.8	2.7	11.5	8.7±1.8
$\|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_l$	$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	Input		4.5	4.5±0.9
$\|1P, 3/2^-\rangle_h$	$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.1±1.2
$\|1P, 3/2^-\rangle_l$	$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	3.3	≈ 0	3.3	3.5±0.6
$\|1P, 5/2^-\rangle$	$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	2.7		2.7	0.8±0.3

As a $1P$ Ω_c^0 state with $J^P = 5/2^-$, the predicted width of the $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ is about 2.7 MeV, which are comparable with the experimental data. Since the mass of the $1P$ $5/2^-$ Ω_c^0 state

was predicted around 3.05 GeV in Refs. [17, 21, 22], the assignment of $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ as a $1P\ 5/2^-$ state is probable.

The concrete values of the obtained partial and total decay widths of these $1P$ candidates, including the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are listed in Table IV for clarity. Among them, $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are regarded as the $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_l$, $|1P, 1/2^-\rangle_h$, $|1P, 3/2^-\rangle_l$, and $|1P, 3/2^-\rangle_h$ states, respectively.

Besides these five narrow Ω_c^0 states states, LHCb also reported a broad structure around 3188 MeV, denoted as the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$, in the $\Xi_c^+K^-$ invariant mass distribution [5]. As shown in Table II, the masses of $2S$ Ω_c^0 states are predicted as 3185 MeV and 3226 MeV, respectively. Therefore, the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$ is probably an $2S$ candidate with $J^P = 1/2^+$ or $3/2^+$. To further check this possibility, we calculate the partial and total decay widths of two $2S$ Ω_c^0 states (see Table V). The largest decay channel for the $2S$ Ω_c^0 states is $\Xi_c K$, which may explain why the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$ was first observed in this channel. However, we notice that theoretical total decay widths of $2S$ Ω_c^0 states with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $J^P = 3/2^+$ are 24.4 MeV and 24.7 MeV, respectively, which are a little bit smaller than the experimental measurement even though considering the experimental errors. In the next section, we will come back to discuss this point.

Finally, we present some typical ratios of partial decay widths, *i.e.*,

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Omega_c^0(1/2^+) \to \Xi_c'(2570)K)}{\Gamma(\Omega_c^0(1/2^+) \to \Xi_c(2470)K)} = 0.55, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Omega_c^0(1/2^+) \to \Xi_c^*(2645)K)}{\Gamma(\Omega_c(1/2^+)^0 \to \Xi_c(2470)K)} = 0.05, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Omega_c^0(3/2^+) \to \Xi_c'(2570)K)}{\Gamma(\Omega_c^0(3/2^+) \to \Xi_c(2470)K)} = 0.01, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Omega_c(3/2^+)^0 \to \Xi_c^*(2645)K)}{\Gamma(\Omega_c^0(3/2^+) \to \Xi_c(2470)K)} = 0.39, \tag{11}$$

which can be tested by future experiments.

TABLE V: The partial and total decay widths in MeV, and branching fractions in %, of the $2S$ Ω_c^0 states.

Decay	Ω_c^0 [$2S(1/2^+)$]		Ω_c^0 [$2S(3/2^+)$]	
modes	Γ_i	$\mathcal{B}_i$	Γ_i	$\mathcal{B}_i$
$\Xi_c(2470)K$	15.2	62.3%	16.5	66.7%
$\Xi_c'(2570)K$	8.4	34.4%	1.6	6.4%
$\Xi_c^*(2645)K$	0.8	3.3%	6.4	25.9%
$D\Xi$	–	–	0.2	1.0%
Theory	24.4	100%	24.7	100%
Expt. [5]	$60 \pm 15 \pm 11$			

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The newly observed Ω_c^0 states at LHCb [5] stimulated our interest in revealing their inner structures. By performing an analysis of mass spectrum and strong decay behavior, we find that five narrow Ω_c^0 states, the $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$, can be grouped into the P-wave charmed baryon family with css configuration. Specifically, both $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ are the $J^P = 1/2^-$ states with the mixtures of the $|0, 1/2^-\rangle$ and $|1, 1/2^-\rangle$, while the $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are the $J^P = 3/2^-$ states with the mixtures of the $|1, 3/2^-\rangle$ and $|2, 3/2^-\rangle$. In our results, the $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ is assigned as a $|2, 5/2^-\rangle$ state. In Fig. 3, we summarize the suggested assignments to these narrow Ω_c^0 states.



FIG. 3: The suggested assignments to five narrow Ω_c^0 states.

At present, the experimental information of the quantum numbers of these five observed narrow Ω_c^0 states is still absent. We suggest future experiment like LHCb and forthcoming BelleII to carry out the measurement of their quantum numbers, which will provide a crucial test to our scenario.

For the broad structure around 3188 MeV reported by LHCb [5], we have studied the possibility of the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$ as an $2S$ Ω_c^0 state with $J^P = 1/2^+$ or $J^P = 3/2^+$. We notice that LHCb only used one Breit-Wigner distribution to depict the broad structure around 3188 MeV, which is still very rough. According to our calculation, two Ω_c^0 states with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $J^P = 3/2^+$ have the mass around 3.2 GeV, which mainly decay into $\Xi_c(2470)K$. It means that two $2S$ states should appear in the $\Xi_c(2470)K$ invariant mass spectrum simultaneously. Thus, we conjugate that such broad broad structure around 3188 MeV [5] may contain two resonance structures at least corresponding to two $2S$ states of Ω_c^0. If it is true, we can understand why the theoretical total decay widths of $2S$ Ω_c^0 state with $J^P = 1/2^+$ and $J^P = 3/2^+$ is smaller than the experimental width of the $\Omega_c(3188)^0$. This conjugation should be further tested by experiment with more precise experimental data.

With the observation of five narrow Ω_c^0 states from LHCb [5], the study of higher orbital and radial excitations of charmed baryons is becoming a hot issue recently. We have reason to believe that LHCb and the forthcoming BelleII will bring us more surprises.

Acknowledgement

This paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11305003, 11222547, 11175073, 11447604, and 11647301. Xiang Liu is also supported by the National Program for Support of Top-notch Young Professionals and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

[1] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, A review of the open charm and open bottom systems, doi:10.1088/1361-6633/aa6420 arXiv:1609.08928 [hep-ph].

[2] J. Yelton *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Study of Excited Ξ_c States Decaying into Ξ_c^0 and Ξ_c^+ Baryons, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 052011 (2016) arXiv:1607.07123 [hep-ex].

[3] Y. Kato *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Studies of charmed strange baryons in the ΛD final state at Belle, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 032002 (2016) arXiv:1605.09103 [hep-ex].

[4] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Study of the $D^0 p$ amplitude in $\Lambda_b^0 \to D^0 p\pi^-$ decays, arXiv:1701.07873 [hep-ex].

[5] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Observation of five new narrow Ω_c^0 states decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$, arXiv:1703.04639 [hep-ex].

[6] C. Patrignani *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Review of Particle Physics, Chin. Phys. C **40**, 100001 (2016).

[7] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, On the nature of the newly discovered Ω_c^0 states, arXiv:1703.07091 [hep-ph].

[8] H. X. Chen, Q. Mao, W. Chen, A. Hosaka, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Decay properties of P-wave charmed baryons from light-cone QCD sum rules, arXiv:1703.07703 [hep-ph].

[9] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Quantum Numbers of Ω_c States and Other Charmed Baryons, arXiv:1704.00396 [hep-ph].

[10] K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Understanding the newly observed Ω_c states through their decays, arXiv:1703.09130 [hep-ph].

[11] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Very narrow excited Ω_c baryons, arXiv:1703.07774 [hep-ph].

[12] M. Padmanath and N. Mathur, Quantum numbers of recently discovered Ω_c^0 baryons from lattice QCD, arXiv:1704.00259 [hep-ph].

[13] W. Wang and R. L. Zhu, Interpretation of the newly observed Ω_c^0 resonance, arXiv:1704.00179 [hep-ph].

[14] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the $\Omega_c(3000)$, $\Omega_c(3050)$, $\Omega_c(3066)$, $\Omega_c(3090)$ and $\Omega_c(3119)$ with QCD sum rules, arXiv:1704.01854 [hep-ph].

[15] G. Yang and J. Ping, The structure of pentaquarks Ω_c^0 in the chiral quark model, arXiv:1703.08845 [hep-ph].

[16] H. Huang, J. Ping and F. Wang, Investigating the excited Ω_c^0 states through $\Xi_c K$ and $\Xi_c' K$ decay channels, arXiv:1704.01421 [hep-ph].

[17] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Spectroscopy and Regge trajectories of heavy baryons in the relativistic quark-diquark picture, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014025 (2011) arXiv:1105.0583 [hep-ph].

[18] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. K. Rai and P. C. Vinodkumar, Mass spectra and Regge trajectories of Λ_c^+, Σ_c^0, Ξ_c^0 and Ω_c^0 baryons, Chin. Phys. C **40**, 123102 (2016) arXiv:1609.08464 [nucl-th].

[19] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. Kumar Rai and P. C. Vinodkumar, Excited State Mass spectra of Singly Charmed Baryons, Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 313 (2016) arXiv:1602.06384 [hep-ph].

[20] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, Heavy baryons in a quark model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 2817 (2008) arXiv:0711.2492 [nucl-th].

[21] T. Yoshida, E. Hiyama, A. Hosaka, M. Oka and K. Sadato, Spectrum of heavy baryons in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 114029 (2015) arXiv:1510.01067 [hep-ph].

[22] K. Maltman and N. Isgur, Baryons With Strangeness and Charm in a Quark Model With Chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1701 (1980).

[23] L. A. Copley, N. Isgur and G. Karl, Charmed Baryons in a Quark Model with Hyperfine Interactions, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 768 (1979) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **23**, 817 (1981)].

[24] N. Isgur, Mesonlike baryons and the spin-orbit puzzle, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 014025 (2000) hep-ph/9910272.

[25] B. Chen, K. W. Wei and A. Zhang, Assignments of Λ_Q and Ξ_Q baryons in the heavy quark-light diquark picture, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, 82 (2015) arXiv:1406.6561 [hep-ph].

[26] B. Chen, K. W. Wei, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Low-excited charm and charm-strange baryons revisited in the quark-diquark picture, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 154 (2017) arXiv:1609.07967 [hep-ph].

[27] R. L. Jaffe, Exotica, Phys. Rept. **409**, 1 (2005) hep-ph/0409065.

[28] E. J. Eichten, C. T. Hill and C. Quigg, Properties of orbitally excited heavy-light ($Q\bar{q}$) mesons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 4116 (1993)

[29] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Spectroscopy with heavy quark symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1130 (1991).

[30] L. Micu, Decay rates of meson resonances in a quark model, Nucl. Phys. B **10**, 521 (1969).

[31] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Naive quark pair creation model of strong interaction vertices, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 2223 (1973).

[32] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Hadron Transitions In The Quark Model, NEW YORK, USA: GORDON AND BREACH (1988) 311p.

[33] J. Segovia, D. R. Entem and F. Fernȼndez, Scaling of the $^3P_0$ Strength in Heavy Meson Strong Decays, Phys. Lett. B **715**, 322 (2012) arXiv:1205.2215 [hep-ph].